CITY OF HOMER HOMER, ALASKA

RESOLUTION 88-71

A RESOLUTION OF THE HOMER CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING THE FORMATION OF THE BONANZA WEST ROAD RECONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO PROCEED WITH THE PREPARATION AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held May 23, 1988 to hear objections or protests to the formation of such improvement district after property owners of the district were duly notified by certified mailing of such hearing and legal notice of hearing being advertised; and

whereas, the objection deadline for written protest was July 22, 1988 and only (4) written protests were received equalling 37% of the total estimated property owner share of the project; and

WHEREAS, it has been determined that the improvement is desired by the property owners who equal more than 51% of the total cost of the project;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Homer City Council that the Bonanza West Road Reconstruction Improvement District is hereby created and formed, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Manager is instructed to proceed with the necessary preparations and construction of the improvement district and to prepare the assessment roll for presentation to the Homer City Council at a future date;

DATED at Homer, Alaska this 8th day of August, 1988.

CITY OF HOMER

ohn P. Calhoun, Mayor

ATTEST:

Mary L Shannon, Deputy City Clerk

TELEPHONE (907) 235-8121 TELECOPIER (907) 235-3140

MEMORANDUM 88-114

TO:

MAYOR & HOMER CITY COUNCIL

FROM:

MARY L. SHANNON, DEPUTY CITY CLERK

DATE:

AUGUST 5, 1988

SUBJ:

RESOLUTION 88-71, FORMING THE BONANZA CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT WEST ROAD

The attached for Council's action forms the Bonanza West Road Reconstruction Improvement District and authorizes the City Manager to proceed with preparations and construction;

Four ballots of objection have been received which equals 37% of the total estimated project costs and they are attached for your information. This leaves a total of 62% of the total project costs ballots returned in favor or owner did not return the ballot, which are considered as favoring the district. response considered ad favoring the district" was clearly noted in the letter and on the ballot which was sent by certified mail to all the property owners in the district. Only one letter was undeliverable and return as "unclaimed".