

Office of the City Clerk

491 East Pioneer Avenue Homer, Alaska 99603

clerk@cityofhomer-ak.gov (p) 907-235-3130 (f) 907-235-3143

Memorandum Agenda Changes/Supplemental Packet

TO: MAYOR ZAK AND HOMER CITY COUNCIL FROM: MELISSA JACOBSEN, MMC, CITY CLERK

DATE: MAY 14, 2018

SUBJECT: AGENDA CHANGES AND SUPPLEMENTAL PACKET

CONSENT AGENDA

Memorandum 18-056 from Mayor Zak Re: Appointments of Larry Slone, Michael Haines, Paul Knight, Karin Marks, Chrisi Matthews, Barry Reiss, and David Derry to the HERC Task Force.

Written public comment

Page 3

PUBLIC HEARING

Ordinance 18-23(S), An Ordinance of the City Council of Homer, Alaska Alaska Accepting and Appropriating an FY 2015 State Homeland Security Program Reallocation Grant for an Amount not to Exceed \$51,912.00 to Replace Mobile Radios in the City's Radio Communication System and Authorizing the City Manager to Execute the Appropriate Documents. City Manager.

Attachment to Memorandum 18-060 as backup

Page 7

PENDING BUSINESS

Resolution 18-041(S), A Resolution of the Homer City Council Amending the Fee Schedule under Port and Harbor Department and Port of Homer Terminal Tariff No. 1 to add a A \$5 \$7.00 Parking fee Impact Levy for Vehicles and Trailers Utilizing the Small Boat Harbor Public Launch Ramp. Smith/Port & Harbor Advisory Commission.

Page 9

RESOLUTIONS

Resolution 18-046, A Resolution of the City Council of Homer, Alaska, Providing Scoping Comment on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Division Permit Application POA-2017-271 for the Proposed Pebble Project. Aderhold.

Written public comment

Page 13

Resolution 18-048(S), A Resolution of the City Council of Homer, Alaska, awarding the 2018 Septic Tank Pumping Contract to the firm of Quality Septic, LLC of Eagle River, Alaska, in the amount of \$32,053 and authorizes the City Manager to execute the appropriate documents. Page 17

Memorandum 18-066 from Public Works Inspector as backup

Page 19

From: janie leask

To: Department Clerk; Bryan Zak; Donna Aderhold; Heath Smith; Shelly Erickson; Tom Stroozas; Caroline Venuti;

Rachel Lord

Subject: HERC Task Force

Date: Sunday, May 13, 2018 8:47:01 AM

Mayor Zak -

I looked at the packet for Monday's Council meeting and am writing to voice my concern regarding agenda Memorandum 18-056 from you re: Appointments of Larry Slone, Michael Haines, Paul Knight, Karin Marks, Chrisi Matthews, Barry Reiss, and David Derry to the HERC Task Force.

I personally don't have an issue with these individuals, but I <u>do</u> have a concern about the exclusion of a representative from the City Council and PARCAC on this Task Force.

The PARCAC's original recommendation to the Council was the task force include: one council person, one PARCAC member, one non-profit member, one private sector member, and one recreation user. They suggested additional membership could include a representative from the EDC, HAPC, library, hospital, realtors, and other community members.

At your April 23rd meeting, the Council discussed both the charge, the budget and the composition of the Task Force and unanimously passed resolution 18-036A which stated that "the Task Force will consist of seven members <u>plus</u> an advisory student member, no more than one member from the Homer City Council, no more than one member from the Parks, Art, Recreation and Culture Advisory Commission, and no more than three seats filled by non-city residents. considering appointments the Mayor and Council should take care to ensure a balanced task force that represents diverse points of view including members who have a strong interest in recreation, familiarity with non-profit management, a small business owner, and a familiarity with land development and real estate." At this meeting Council member Erickson made the comment that she appreciates the balanced membership outlined in this resolution.

If the PARCAC and the Council recommended both the Council and PARCAC have a seat on the HERC Task Force, why are you not including these slots as voting members of the HERC Task Force?

I believe in the public process and feel it's critical this

Task Force gets off on the right foot, that there's integrity in the process, that they will take an honest look at the questions the Council outlined, and there's no preconceived agenda .

If PARCAC and the City Council recommended both entities have a seat at the table, I believe they should and, in my opinion to do otherwise would taint the process right from the start and I don't believe the Council wants to do that.

Respectfully,

Janie Leask Homer resident May 13, 2018

Mayor and City Council of Homer,

Greetings.

I am writing this letter to urge the Mayor and the City Council to add a city council member and a member of the Parks, Art, Recreation and Culture Advisory Commission to the HERC task force. I make this request because I think it very important that a representative from each of these two areas serve. I think it would be beneficial in a couple of ways.

First, having a PARCAC member on the task force would bring to the group a person who has a long history and knowledge of local recreation needs and usage and more specifically the HERC building usage. I think it would save a valuable time and effort in bringing the rest of the task group up to speed. This person could serve to quickly fill in areas of information for questions that will inevitably arise and for questions that may not arise but should be asked. A PARCAC member is an experienced person in group tasks and would add to the smooth running of their meetings.

Having a city council member on the HERC task force would help with the clarity of communication between the task force and the city council in both the information gathering process and in reporting the final product.

Neither of these additions would slow the efficiency and speed of the task force. It has instead, all the likelihood of enhancing efficiency and thoroughness of the work to be done. Please consider this when finalizing the membership of this group. A thorough and accurate assessment will be needed to satisfy the concerns of everybody involved.

Sincerely,

Holly S Van Pelt Homer resident

Project Budget Details Report

					•	
ſ	2015 State Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP) / SHSP					
	Homer, City of					
Reported Category = ALL. Reported Revision = 1 of 1.						
Expense PBD# Category	Solution Area	<u>Disci-</u> pline	Qty	Budgeted Cost	<u>PBD#</u> <u>Amt Spent</u>	<u>PBD#</u> <u>Balance</u>
1 Equipment	Other	EMA	State			
EHP Item:			Federal	\$110,000.00	\$9,750.00	\$100,250.00
☑ EHP Genera						
Purcha Description	ase and install a backu	up generator and	automatic tra	ansfer switch to t	he Homer Port a	nd Harbor building
2 Equipment EHP Item: Mobile	Interop.Commun	LE	State Federal	\$26,874.21		\$26,874.21
Description Purchase and installation of patrol vehicle mounted mobile radios for the Homer Police Department. This project will correct vulnerabilities identified in HPD's emergency response capability, the ability of emergency responders to establish and sustain field communications in support of mission operations. This is a continuation of an FY17 SHSP project						
Adjusted Grant Award State Federal \$136,8 Total Budgeted Allocate \$136,8	374.21 d (Fed & State)	PBD Total Alloo	Federal	\$136,874.21 xpenses: State Federal Summary Ba	Federal	\$127,124.21
		PBD Non-Budo	geted Fund	s:	\$0.00	

1	CITY OF HOMER
2	HOMER, ALASKA
3	Smith/
4	Port & Harbor
5	Advisory Commission
6	RESOLUTION 18-041(S)
7	
8	A RESOLUTION OF HOMER CITY COUNCIL AMENDING THE FEE
9	SCHEDULE UNDER PORT AND HARBOR DEPARTMENT AND PORT
10	OF HOMER TERMINAL TARIFF NO. 1 TO ADD A \$5 \$7.00 PARKING
11	FEE IMPACT LEVY FOR VEHICLES AND TRAILERS UTILIZING THE
12	SMALL BOAT HARBOR PUBLIC LAUNCH RAMP
13	
14	WHEREAS, Land on the spit is a valuable resource; and
15	WILEDEAG B. A. T. C.
16	WHEREAS, Boat trailers require substantial use of this resource when parked; and
17	WILEDEAG III.
18	WHEREAS, It is common place for municipalities to charge for parking as a revenue
19	source; and
20	MULEDEAC Deathraile and in a fear will in an earthar Death and Hank an Entermaine Found
21	WHEREAS, Boat trailer parking fees will increase the Port and Harbor Enterprise Fund,
22	thus enabling it to achieve its financial goals and fund growth projects <u>: and</u>
23	WIIEDEAC The weathing for will be implemented as soon as any etical often staff has
24	WHEREAS, The parking fee will be implemented as soon as practical after staff has
25	implemented the necessary changes for signage and paperwork. The parking levy will be implemented as soon as practical after staff has implemented the necessary changes for
26 27	
28	signage, re-formatted the payment systems, received approval in writing per the contract agreement made with Fish and Game, and given notice to our launch ramp
29	customers for two weeks prior to implementing the new levy.
30	customers for two weeks prior to implementing the new tevy.
31	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Homer City Council amends the City of
32	Homer Fee Schedule under Port and Harbor Department fees as follows:
33	riomer ree senedate ander rore and riarbor beparement rees as rollows.
34	PUBLIC LAUNCH RAMPS:
35	Vessels shall be charged \$13.00 per day plus a \$5.00 per launch parking fee (parking fee is
36	vessets shall be charged \$15.00 per day <u>plas a \$5.00 per ladiren parking rec (parking rec is</u> <u>valid for 7 days following a launch)</u> to launch from the public launch ramps from April 1
37	through October 15. (Reserved stall lessees exempt for the boat assigned to and registered to
38	the reserved stall only, not for other boats owned by the same individual.)
39	the reserved state only, not for other bodts owned by the same marviadat.
40	Launch ramp customers will be charged \$13.00 per launch plus a \$7.00 per launch levied
41	parking impact fee. Parking Assessment is valid for up to seven (7) consecutive days, after
42	which vehicles, boats, trailers must be removed from all port and harbor property for a
43	minimum of 24 consecutive hours in order to be eligible to resume parking anywhere on
44	public property on the Homer Spit.

Vessel owners or operators may obtain a seasonal permit for \$130.00 plus a \$50.00 parking

fee entitling a specific vessel and owner to launch from April 1 through October 15. (Reserved stall lessees exempt for the boat assigned to and registered to the reserved stall only, not for other boats owned by the same individual.)

Vessel owners or operators eligible to obtain a seasonal load and launch permit for \$130.00 are now obligated to pay an additional parking impact levy of \$70.00 at the time of purchase parking assessment. Permit entitles a specific vessel and owner to launch from April 1 through October 15 (Reserved Stall lessees are exempt for the boat assigned to and registered to the reserved stall only, not for other boats owned by the same individual.)

Parking assessment is valid for up to seven (7) consecutive days, after which vehicles, boats, trailers must be removed from all port and harbor property for a minimum of 24 consecutive hours in order to be eligible to resume parking.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Port of Homer Terminal Tariff No. 1 is amended as follows:

RULE 12 - VEHICLE PARKING

 12.01. VEHICLE ACCESS LIMITED ON DOCKS – It shall be unlawful for any person to operate any vehicle within the Port area except for the purpose of loading or discharging freight or passengers, or while actually engaged in the performance of necessary duties which require the presence of such vehicle on Port property. Any vehicle shall be under the constant attendance of the operator and subject to the rules in this Tariff. It shall be the duty of such operator to immediately remove such vehicle from the wharf or pier upon the completion of the transaction of necessary business. The Harbormaster has the authority to remove any vehicle in violation of this rule at the sole expense of the owner and may be refused further access to any Port property at the discretion of the Harbormaster.

12.02. STORAGE OF VEHICLES – It shall be unlawful for any motor vehicle to be stored on any wharf unless such vehicle shall first have been drained of all gasoline or other liquid petroleum products, except at such places as the Harbormaster may designate.

12.03. VEHICLE WARNING LIGHTS/ALARMS – Maintenance or vehicles working on piers or in Terminal yards, when moving or stationary, in working areas shall display an operating overhead warning light. All maintenance vehicles shall be equipped with backup alarms.

12.04. VEHICLE ACCESS TO VESSEL – Access to a berthed vessel by taxi, van, bus or other vehicle shall not be granted by the vessel without permission of the Harbormaster or designee.

 86 87

88 89

90 91 92

93 94

95

96 97

98 99

100

101

102

103104

105

106

107108109

110111

112

113

114

115

- 12.05. SPEED LIMIT ON PORT PROPERTY Any person operating a vehicle on any wharf area, within any transit shed, warehouse, marine terminal area, or in any other area where cargo is handled, shall not operate at a greater rate of speed than ten (10) miles per hour, unless otherwise posted. During cargo handling or other operations, no person shall operate a vehicle at such speed or in any manner so as to endanger life, limb or property.
 - 12.06. PARKING OF VEHICLES It shall be unlawful for any person to leave or park a vehicle upon any portion of any City property outside of designated parking areas or without the permission of the Harbormaster. The Harbormaster shall erect and maintain appropriate signage giving notice that no parking is allowed in restricted areas. Parking of motor vehicles, other than motor vehicles that are cargo, shall be permitted only in those areas designated for such parking. Vehicles are only permitted on wharf areas with the permission of the Harbormaster.
 - 12.07. PARKING LIMITS Parking of motor vehicles in areas designated for parking shall be for the time limits specified and posted for those areas. The parking of motor vehicles in areas in which parking is prohibited or the parking of motor vehicles in areas designated for parking beyond the time limits specified are subject to ticketing and towing or impound in accordance with applicable law.
 - 12.08. PARKING FEES (SHORT TERM) Parking fees are to be collected at Ramp 1, Ramp 2, Ramp 3 and Ramp 4 seasonally (Memorial Day through Labor Day). Parking fee is \$5 per calendar day. Posted parking time limits will be established and enforced as per Homer City Code.
 - 12.09. PARKING FEES -VEHICLES WITH BOAT TRAILERS (7 DAYS) The City owns and provides access to 7 day public use parking for vehicles with boat trailers. Persons with vehicles and boat trailers using the load and launch ramp are obligated to pay a \$7.00 levied parking impact fee at the time of load and launch pass purchase. Persons purchasing a season Load and Launch pass are similarly obligated to pay a \$70.00 levied parking impact fee.
- 12.010. LONG TERM PARKING PERMITS Vehicles over 20' are not eligible for long term parking permits.
- a. Seasonal permits for day use parking (Ramps 1-4): \$250.00.
- b. Long Term parking permits required for vehicles 20' or less parked in excess of seven (7)
 consecutive 24-hour days.
- 121 c. Long Term parking annual permit fee for vessel owners paying annual moorage in the Homer 122 Harbor: fee \$100.00.
- d. Long Term parking annual permit (January 1st through December 31st): fee \$200.00.
- e. Monthly parking permit for vehicles less than 20': fee \$70.00 for 30 consecutive days.
- f. Monthly parking permit for non-commercial vehicles over 20': fee \$85.00 for 30 consecutive days in a portion of Lot 9 only.
- 127 g. Long term parking will be enforced year around.
- h. Parking lot restrictions for long term parking, May 1 through October 1, as depicted on harbor map (Resolution 11-036(A)).

Page 4 of 4 RESOLUTION 18-041(S) CITY OF HOMER

- i. Existing code definitions for restricted parking, vehicles, junk vehicles, and fines for violations apply. Fines, \$25.00 per calendar day, provided that the fine for overtime parking in long term parking area will be limited to \$250.00 fine per calendar year, with \$200.00 of the fine credited towards the long term parking annual permit.
 - j. Failure to pay violations will result in an additional \$25.00 fee per month and any other legal or collection fee authorized by law.

RULE 34 - SMALL BOAT HARBOR PUBLIC LAUNCH RAMP

34.01. BOAT PUBLIC LAUNCH RAMP – The City owns and provides access to public launch ramps. The principal intended use of the LAUNCH RAMP is the launch and recovery of small, boats on trailers. An authorized subsidiary use is the incidental, noncommercial, loading or unloading of goods, supplies or materials. *Rate for a single launch is \$13.00 plus the addition of a \$7 levied parking impact fee for a total of \$20.00(see also 12.09); a season pass covering April 1 to October 15 is \$130.00 with the addition of a \$70 levied parking impact fee for a total of \$200.00(see also 12.09).* The person who is obligated to pay the fee for using the load and launch ramp must make the ticket verifying payment available upon request, or display the seasonal permit on the port side of the permit holder's vessel. There is a \$20.00 per hour fee for vessels that are left unattended on the Launch Ramp and are blocking ramps. Reserved stall holders are exempt from launch fees for the vessel assigned to and registered to the stall only, not for other boats owned by the same individual. At the Harbormaster's discretion, reasonable restrictions may be placed on the use of the public launch ramp.

152		
153	PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Homer City Cou	ncil this day of, 2018.
154		
155		CITY OF HOMER
156		
157		
158		BRYAN ZAK, MAYOR
159	ATTEST:	
160		
161		
162	MELISSA JACOBSEN, MMC, CITY CLERK	
163		
164	Fiscal note: Port and Harbor Parking fees	

Patricia Cue LTC (USA Retired) PO Box 745 Homer, AK 99603

May 3, 2018

Program Manager
US Army Corps of Engineers
Regulatory Division
PO Box 6898
Joint Base Elmendorf Richardson, AK 99506-0898

Sir/Madam:

I am writing this comment in response to the Scope of Impacts on the proposed Pebble Mine. I oppose the building of a large scale mine at the headwaters of Bristol Bay. This letter questions the social and economic impacts to the Kenai Peninsula if the proposed Pebble Mine is approved. The No Action alternative is the alternative that I support which is no mine.

There are numerous studies that detail the social and economic impacts from resource extraction industries. Many of the studies are divided into different categories such as waste management issues, impacts to biodiversity and habitat, and poverty alleviation and wealth distribution. Has Northern Dynasty detailed the mines impact on these issues? Is there baseline data available to determine impacts of the mine in relationship to these issues? Has Northern Dynasty provided baseline data on the health of the communities that are going to be impacted by the proposed Pebble Mine? The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must include baseline data on the health of the community with regard to economic health, baseline level of educational attainment, social problems such as suicide, crime, homelessness, violence and substance abuse and education strain. It should assess the cultural impacts resulting from the mine. How will this mine impact the multi-generational customs associated with living a region dependent on strong salmon fisheries and wild game?

The ecotourism industry across the Inlet includes trout fishing, wildlife viewing and sport fishing. This economy has a synergistic relationship with economies on the Peninsula that serve the same population of Alaska Native peoples. Has the proposed Pebble Mine assessed the impact on sport fishing, outdoor recreation companies, the hospitality sector, restaurants and tourist shops? What will be the impact to Homer particularly how will Pebble compensate the Homer for the cost of upgrading the deep water dock? How will the increase in marine vessel traffic impact Kachemak Bay, Cook Inlet and the already flourishing fishing industry? These impacts will include individuals involved in the fishery such as deckhands, dockworkers, marine vessel technologies and "Wild Salmon" industries?

Other information not included in the application is the number and size of vessels that will be used during the construction phase. This information is necessary to assess impacts. What routes will vessels coming and going from the mine during the construction phase and operation? How often will vessels enter Kachemak Bay and the Homer Harbor during construction and operation? Again, depending on the answers to these questions, it is reasonable to expect that Homer Harbor will need to be modified. How? Who will pay for this?

According to the application, the proposed Pebble Mine will require 230 MW of power. Homer Electric provides approximately 75 MW to power the entire Kenai Peninsula. What are the need projections for 20+ years to show that there is enough gas supply for the existing railbelt plus the 230 MW demand from Pebble with the additional demands from Donlin Mine, Nikiski LNG fertilizer plant? How will Pebble address the peak demand challenges such as maintaining field pressure in mid-winter? Is there a field pressure projection at peak demand times with the new 230 MW added plus the pumping/compression from the new load on the west side of Cook Inlet? How much will Pebble use of the existing gas storage on the Kenai (CINGSA)? Pebble should be required to pay for this. If CINGSA is required to maintain pressure, how much will this cost? Pebble should be required to pay for this.

What will be the impacts to protected species from the construction of the natural gas pipeline? Of particular importance is the Cook Inlet Beluga Whale, the listed Northern Sea Otter, Humpback Whales. What will be the impacts to scallop beds and salmon?

There is a past history of pipeline leaks/cracks/corrosion in Cook Inlet (gas spill in 2017 caused by collision of rock with pipe). The winter conditions from surrounding ice resulted in months of delay in the repair of the pipeline. Has there been a needs assessment of the impact of spills? What are the mitigation plans? This includes the plan for a new pipeline under Lake Iliamna which addresses freezing conditions and impacts and habitat destruction.

What are the impacts to salmon and salmon habitat from the buried pipeline along the road? The roads planned for the construction and maintenance of the mine are going to be placed in very wet habitat requiring 222 culverts. What are the plans to avoid and/or stop spills? There needs to be a baseline impact assessment. Is buried pipeline included in the dredge and fill volume estimates? What will be the impact on these pipelines from an earthquake? How will these problems be mitigated?

What will be the impacts from the power plant? Will there be water temperature impacts resulting from cooling the post-combustion process? Is this water then put back into local streams and wetlands? Salmon are highly sensitive to water temperature. How will this effect spawning when water temperatures are already rising? There must be an assessment if water temperature impacts especially during critical times in the salmon life-cycle. Does Pebble have the water rights needed for this? Who does?

What will be the impact to the commercial fisheries? Has there been an assessment on impacts from heavy metal dust to salmon and salmon forage in streams and waters around the transportation corridor? Is there an impact to salmon traveling to a wide range of streams if heavy metals enter salt water at the dock site? What will be the impact to habitat related to the road construction with approximately 222 stream crossings? What will be the habitat damage and loss related to burying pipeline along the transportation corridor? What will be the impacts of contamination related to the discharge of ballast water at Amakdedori Creek? What will be the impacts of the loss of habitat at Amakdedori Creek? What will be the impact on the contamination resulting from spills from fuel and other chemicals? Again, how will these impacts be mitigated?

How many jobs are going to be lost? How much revenue from the fisheries industry will be lost? What are the broader impacts to the economy and ecosystem?

According to the Proposed Determination of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 10 Pursuant to Section 404 (c) of the Clean Water Act, July 2014, the EPA Region 10 stated that it has underestimated the potential adverse effects on resources with the Bristol Bay watersheds from mining the Pebble deposit. Pebble Mine has brought forward no new information regarding the mines impact on Bristol Bay. Moreover, the EPA recognized the known compensatory mitigation techniques are unlikely to offset impacts of the nature and magnitude described in their proposed restrictions. Ultimately, the compensation methods proposed by Pebble including placement of instream structures, stream fertilization, and construction of spawning channels, have typically had only variable, local or temporary effects and were designed for us in degraded watersheds, or resulted in adverse, unintended consequences.

As human beings become more aware of the impact of resource extraction on wild places, Alaskans have a unique place in the history of humanity to voice their opposition to the destruction of the last wild salmon fishery. Our collective voices will not cease to object to this poorly planned and life altering mine.

I urge you to deny issuing the Permit for the construction of the Pebble Mine due to the adverse impacts on the social and economic structure on the Kenai Peninsula.

I attended the scoping meeting on Wednesday, April 11, 2018 in Homer, Alaska. In my opinion, the reason for withholding open public comment due to the anticipation of a large number of people is reprehensible in an open and democratic society. The proposed mine will eliminate tens of thousands of jobs, destroy the last remaining wild salmon fishery and negatively impact the community of Homer. The citizens of Homer and the surrounding communities will be impacted by the proposed Pebble Mine. A public comment hearing that listens to the people who live here is the least the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers can do to make this an open and fair process.

As mentioned earlier, this will be the first of several letters I plan on writing in opposition to Pebble Mine.

Respectfully Submitted,

Patricia Cue LTC (USA Retired)

cc: Alaska Senator Lisa Murkowski
Alaska Senator Dan Sullivan
Alaska Congressman Don Young
Alaska Governor Bill Walker
Alaska State Representative Paul Seaton
Alaska State Senator Gary Stevens
CEO Philip K.R. Pascall, First Quantum Minerals
Michael Armstrong: The Homer News
T. Wells: The Homer Tribune

1	CITY OF H	OMER	
2	HOMER, A	LASKA	
3			City Clerk
4	RESOLUTION	18-048(S)	
5			
6	A RESOLUTION OF THE HOMER (
7	2018 SEPTIC TANK PUMPING CON		
8	QUALITY SEPTIC, LLC, OF EAGLE R	·	
9	OF \$32,053 AND AUTHORIZING TH	E CITY MANAGER TO EXECU	TE
10	THE APPROPRIATE DOCUMENTS.		
11			
12	WHEREAS, In accordance with the Pro	-	
13	advertised in the Homer News on April 18 and 26	s, 2018, sent to two in-state p	olans rooms, and
14	posted on the City of Homer website; and		
15			
16	WHEREAS, Bids were due May 9, 2018 and	3 bids were received; and	
17			
18	WHEREAS, Alaska Quality Septic, LLC of E	agle River, Alaska, was found	to be the lowest
19	responsive bidder; and		
20			
21	WHEREAS, This award is not final until wr	itten notification is received	by the firm from
22	the City of Homer.		
23			
24	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that t	•	•
25	2018 Septic Tank Pumping Contract to the firm o		
26	the amount of \$32,053 and authorizes the City Ma	nager to execute the approp	riate documents.
27			
28	PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Homer City	Council this 14 th day of May,	2018.
29			
30			
31		CITY OF HOMER	
32			
33			
34		BRYAN ZAK, MAYOR	
35			
36	ATTEST:		
37			
38	MELICOA IACOROENI ANAC CITA CI TRA	-	
39	MELISSA JACOBSEN, MMC, CITY CLERK		
40	F'		
41	Fiscal note: Account No. 200.504.5210 - \$32,053		



publicworks@cityofhomer-ak.gov (p) 907- 235-3170 (f) 907-235-3145

MEMORANDUM 18-066

To: Katie Koester, City Manager From: Jean Hughes, Inspector

Date: May 09, 2018

Subject: 2018 Kachemak City Septic Tank Pumping Contract

AWARD RECOMMENDATION

On May 09, 2018, the City received three (3) bids for the tri-annual pumping contract for the variable grade effluent system (VGES) tanks in Kachemak City. The work involves the pumping and agitating of 133 septic tanks. The work takes place within a 100 day contract period. The Invitation to Bid was advertised in the Homer Tribune on 4/18/18 and 4/25/18.

The three bids received were from: Peninsula Pumping, Inc for \$33,792 (\$264 per tank); Homer Septic Services, LLC for \$33,250 (\$250 per tank); and Alaska Quality Septic, LLC for \$32,053 (\$241 per tank).

<u>Fiscal Note-</u> This work is paid through a portion of the customer's monthly sewer rate and funded under account 200.5210.504.

<u>Recommendation-</u> Award the City of Homer 2018 Septic Tank Pumping Contract to Alaska Quality Septic, LLC in the amount of \$32,053.

History of Past Pumping Contracts

Year	Contract Amount	Tanks Pumped	Price per Tank	Contractor
1994	\$5,289.50	69	\$76.66	Homer Honey Bear
1996	\$5,962.00	75	\$79.50	Homer Honey Bear
1998	\$7,200.00	76	\$94.74	Homer Honey Bear
2000	\$6,950.00	82	\$84.76	Peninsula Pumping
2003	\$9,309.00	100	\$93.09	Moore-Quick Pumping
2006	\$18,000.00	116	\$155.00	Homer Septic Services
2009	\$30,000.00	126	\$238.10	Homer Septic Services
2012	\$33,655.00	127	\$265.00	Homer Septic Services
2015	\$35,510.00	134	\$265.00	Homer Septic Services