Water & Sewer Task Force

Second Public Hearing March 5, 2013

Task Force Purpose

- Resolution 12-027(A)
- Duty
 - Review the current rates
 - Propose rates for 2013

Review Process

- Current Rate Model & Prior Rate Study
- Potential Rate Designs
 - Developing Rates for Small Systems (M54)

OBJECTIVES	INCREASING	UNIFORM	SEASONAL	FLAT RATE
	RATE MODEL	RATE MODEL	RATE MODEL	MODEL
FAIRNESS				
CONSERVATION				
EQUITY				
COST OF SERVICE BASED				
UNDERSTANDABLE				
FEASIBLE				
DEFENDABLE				
REVENUE STABILITY				
COST RECOVERY				
LEGAL				

Low Satisfactory High

Specific Costs Reviewed

- Staffing
 - Required certification for treatment plant operators
 - Required number of staff
- Administrative Costs
 - Finance
 - Other Support
- Water "waste"
 - Port & Harbor
 - Dead-end line flushing
- Meter accuracy

Other Considerations

- Rates in other communities
 - Not really an apples-to-apples comparison
 - Well water vs. surface water treatment requirements
 - Pressure reducing valves (water system)
 - Lift stations (waste system)
 - Low customer density
 - State-of-the-art treatment facilities
 - Kachemak City Service Contract
 - Out dated

Proposed Model - Water

C	City of Homer Wate	r and Sewer Rate Study	Draft Rate Model			
7	Version 1 - Working I	Feb - FIRST FINAL fo	r 2nd Public Hearing			
Updated Fe	ebruary 5, 2012 by Ta	ask Force				
Water Rates	S					
R	Revenue Assumptions	s (dollars):			Source:	
1		Total Water Revenue Requirements (2014)=			Annual Budget	
2		Deduct Portion Co	ollected through Service Fee=	310,077	Annual Budget	
2		Hydrant Rents (10% of E6) =			Annual Budget	
4		Sprinkler Differential (20 buildings - \$5/mo)= 1,200		Building Customer		
6		Surplus Water Sales (Bulk) surcharge only =		92,290	Bulk Sales	
8		Adjus	sted Revenue Requirements =	1,297,672	Calculated	
91	Jsage Assumptions (gallons):				
10		Metered Sales Projection (gallons) =			Prior Year	
**11	6.5% Commodity Reduction due to Conservation =			8,125,000	Number to be tested	
12	Adjusted Sales Projection (gallons) =			116,875,000	Calculated	
		Informational:				
13	Spit Water Sales =			17,921,000	Prior Year	
14	Surplus (Bulk) Water Sales =			23,072,500	Prior Year	
15	Number of Meters =			1,472 Prior Year		
16	City Hall Finance Department O/H=			775,192 Annual Budget		
17	Public Facilities Water Usage		<u> </u>	134,904	Annual Budget	
A	All Customers	Water Rate	Metered Service Fee			
		0.0111	17.55		Rounded up to \$18	
	Bulk Water =	.015/gallon				

Proposed Model - Sewer

	City of Homer	Water and Sewer Rate Study	Draft Rate Model			
Updated	d February 5, 20	13 by Task Force				
Sewer Rates			Version 12 - Working February FIRS		T FINAL - Second Public Hearing	
		Revenue Assumptions (do	ollars):		Source:	
1		2014 Tota	l Revenue Requirement=	1,680,279	Annual Budget	
**2		Sewer Differential (.86	6*84% of Lift Stations) =	156,447	All Lift Station Users	
**3		High BOD Generator Sewage	e Differential (\$10/mo) =	5,760	New Fee	
4		Customer Fee from	m KC/Tenants (\$5/mo) =	53,160	Reduced Fee	
7		Kachemak Ci	ity Fees (less pumping) =	81,270	Prior Year	
8			Dumping Station Fees	10,500	Prior Year	
9		Summer Metered Gall	ons (Septic Reduction) =	(400.00)	From Accounting	
10		Adjusted	Revenue Requirements=	1,373,542		
		Usage Assumptions (gall	lons):			
11		Discharge Sales Pro	jection (gross metered) =	125,000,000	Water Sales	
**12		6.5% Commodity Reduction	on due to Conservation =	(8,125,000)		
13		Metered Spit w/o e	entering Treatment Line=	(9,150,000)		
14		Adjusted Disc	charge Sales Projection =	107,725,000		
		Informational:				
15		Spit Se	wer Discharge (gallons)=	7,225,000	Prior Year	
16			Lift Station Costs=	181,915	Annual Budget	
17		Single Connecti	on Multi-Tennant Units=	886	Prior Year	
18		Public Facilities Contribution =		46,918	Annual Budget	
**19		High BOD Gene	erator Sewage (gallons) =	48	From Page 2	
20			Dumping Station Fees =	10,500	Annual Budget	
	NON-Lift Zone Customers - Sewer Rate /gal					
21		0.013				
**	Lift Station Zor	nes - Sewer Rate /gal				
22		0.023				

Recommendations

- Replacing the current rate model with the proposed commodity based model.
- Continue to periodically review the allocation of administrative and other overhead expenses to ensure they properly reflect the actual expenses being charged to W & S.
- Clearly delineate water and sewer rates, by location, in future budget documents (i.e., revenue from City facilities and related expense lines in Port & Harbor, Water & Sewer, and other administrative budgets.)
- Confirm that ALL City of Homer facilities receiving water and sewer services are being properly metered and billed.
- Consider alternatives for refreshing the water in dead-end lines.
- Renew the contract with Kachemak City and ensure that the rates adequately reflect the cost of this area on the system as a whole, including any added administrative expenses.
- Conduct rate-setting in a manner that will not allow political influences to result in the under collection of rates in the future.
- Establish a periodic meter inspection program to ensure that all meters are properly installed and reading.
- Consider hiring a qualified consulting firm to review the rate structure and/or establish a Water & Sewer Board that is advisory to the Council.

Questions

