

Planning

491 East Pioneer Avenue Homer, Alaska 99603

Planning@ci.homer.ak.us (p) 907-235-3106 (f) 907-235-3118

Memorandum Agenda Changes/Supplemental Packet

TO: PLANNING COMMISSION

FROM: RENEE KRAUSE, DEPUTY CITY CLERK II

DATE: FEBRUARY 15, 2023 SUBJECT: SUPPLEMENTAL

4. RECONSIDERATION

- 4. A. Reconsideration issued by Commissioner Venuti: Forest Trails Subdivision Preliminary Plat Agenda Item Report PC 23-012
 - 1. Resolution 23-015, A Resolution of the City Council of Homer, Alaska, Supporting the Homer Planning Commission's Reconsideration of its February 1, 2023 approval of a Preliminary Plat for the Forest Trails Subdivision; and Urging the Planning Commission to Include a Reference to New Requirements Related to Sidewalks Laid out in Homer City Code 11.04.120.
 - 2. Public Comment Received pg 3

1	CITY OF HOMER
2 3	HOMER, ALASKA Davis/Ericksor
4	RESOLUTION 23-015
5	RESCECTION 23-013
6	A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF HOMER, ALASKA
7	SUPPORTING THE HOMER PLANNING COMMISSION'S
8	RECONSIDERATION OF ITS FEBRUARY 1, 2023 APPROVAL OF A
9	PRELIMINARY PLAT FOR THE FOREST TRAILS SUBDIVISION; AND
10	URGING THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO INCLUDE A REFERENCE
11	TO NEW REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO SIDEWALKS LAID OUT IN
12	HOMER CITY CODE 11.04.120.
13	
14	WHEREAS, The Homer City Council has delegated to the Homer Planning Commission
15	its Statutory Authority to approve new subdivisions within the City Limits of Homer; and
16	
17	WHEREAS, The Homer City Council last year amended HCC 11.04.120 to require that
18	new subdivisions include, at the developer's cost, dedicated facilities for non-motorized
19	transportation along certain streets; and
20	
21	WHEREAS, The Homer Planning Commission at its February 1, 2023 meeting approved
22	a preliminary plat for the Forest Trail Subdivision that did not include or identify the eventua
23	location of any facilities for non-motorized transportation per HCC 11.04.120; and
24	WUEDEAS. The Homer Planning Commission will reconsider this proliminary pla
2526	WHEREAS, The Homer Planning Commission will reconsider this preliminary pla approval at its February 15, 2023 meeting.
27	approval at its rebruary 15, 2025 meeting.
28	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of Homer welcomes the
29	Homer Planning Commission's decision to reconsider its approval of the preliminary plat fo
30	the Forest Trails Subdivision, and urges that this and future preliminary plats include
31	easements for and indicate locations of dedicated facilities for non-motorized transportation
32	in accordance with HCC 11.04.120.
33	
34	PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Homer City Council this 13th day of February, 2023.
35	
36	CITY, OF HOMER
37	
38	La John
39	KEN CASTNER, MAYOR
40	ATTEST:
41	
42	Melle facility
43	MELISSA JACOBSEN, MMC, CITY CLERK
44	
45	Fiscal Note: N/A

 From:
 Devony Lehner

 To:
 Renee Krause

 Cc:
 Janette Keiser

Subject: LAYDOWN -- support for Reconsideration of Forest Trails Subdivision

Date: Tuesday, February 14, 2023 10:46:32 PM

Attachments: pc packet preview pq 1-3 (1).pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

LAYDOWN for February 15 meeting of the Homer Advisory Planning Commission

February 14, 2023

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I would like to express support for reconsideration of Forest Trails Subdivision (see highlighted item on attached agenda). I speak as a subdivision developer. My husband, Tom Taffe, and I developed the 51-lot Stream Hill Park Subdivision, which is upslope and near the proposed Forest Trails Subdivision.

As a natural resource developer and planner, I have long been interested in the functions of the hydrogeological systems that provide key "utilities" or "infrastructures" in areas being developed. These utilities can enhance our quality of life when they function well—e.g., by preventing flooding or subsidence or by providing clean water, etc.—and can cause untold heartaches and costs when they function poorly. As a result, I'd like to recommend that before the Homer Advisory Planning Commission approves a plat map for Forest Trails Subdivision, they consider the information listed at the end of my comments.

When Tom and I developed Stream Hill Park, we acquired the kind of information listed below and integrated it into our subdivision plans. Information was obtained both by hiring an appropriate engineer and by accessing online information available at KPB's Geohub parcel viewers (https://geohub.kpb.us/) and at Web Soil Survey (https://geohub.kpb.us/) and at Web Soil Survey (https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm). As it happens, the engineer we hired was Registered Professional Engineer David Nyman, Principal at Restoration Science and Engineering in Anchorage. His staff bio notes that: "Throughout his career he has focused on providing integrated civil/environmental engineering services to governmental and private clients." Integration of civil and environmental engineering considerations was fundamental to us in developing Stream Hill Park (and I believe it should be essential in all Homer area developments).

Information listed below can assist in appropriately considering and addressing the full range of environmental conditions found in the Forest Hills Subdivision area. This information can be used to ensure that unforeseen and damaging onsite and offsite impacts—particularly downslope—do not occur. Given the popularity of the Calvin and Coyle Trail downslope of the proposed subdivision, offsite negative impacts could affect the entire community, including visitors.

If properly considered and appropriately integrated into subdivision design, information listed below can ensure that utilities serving the development will operate in ways beneficial to subdivision developers, parcel buyers, and the community at large. This information also ensures that both natural utilities—like streams, wetlands, and other kinds of green infrastructure—and human-installed utilities—like septic systems, sewer lines, swales, retention ponds, etc.—are designed with integrated functionality and optimum long-term performance in mind. Inasmuch as the City of Homer requires subdividers to provide utility plans in Subdivision Agreements approved by Public Works, it is appropriate for the HAPC to request that information listed below be obtained, considered, and integrated into subdivision plans submitted for HAPC review.

Recommended information for reconsideration of Forest Trails Subdivision plan:

- A map showing boundaries and acreages of watershed area(s) feeding into subdivision lands. Mapped watershed area(s) will supply streams and other drainages into and through the subdivision, including wetlands providing recharge, discharge, and storage areas (see also wetland map below).
- Calculations, based on available precipitation data, of maximum runoff volumes likely to result from maximum rainfall events and rapid snowmelt. These runoff and meltwater volumes will need to be accommodated by utilities designed for this purpose. Note that mature spruce trees can intercept large volumes of both rain and snow and thus significantly reduce runoff outflow from the subdivision.
- High resolution* maps showing
 - soil map units (soil series) should be more detailed than 1:25,000;
 - wetlands, particularly areas mapped as hydric soils should be more detailed than 1:25,000:
 - percent slopes characterizing the area;
 - linear and polygon areas where stormwater and meltwater runoff are currently conveyed and/or stored—both in the proposed subdivision area and immediately downslope of the development should be more detailed than 1:25,000.

Thank you for your reconsideration, and thank you for your consistent hard work and commitment to the health and wellbeing of the Homer community. Your efforts are recognized and appreciated!

Devony

*Note, 1:25,000 is the functional resolution (scale) of Web Soil Survey maps (see https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm) and KWF Wetlands Assessment layer maps (see https://gis.kpb.us/map/index.html?viewer=landcover); this resolution is not generally high enough for detailed site-specific subdivision planning. These overview maps should be field checked and enhanced as needed depending on proposed land uses and the variability of terrain, hydrology, plant communities, mapped soils, etc.